SPECIAL REPORT PREPARED FOR THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL TRIBUNAL HEARING - 15TH JUNE 2012 A FARRELL V SOUTH YORKSHIRE POLICE AUTHORITY

AUTHOR: TONY FARRELL, FORMER PRINCIPAL INTELLIGENCE ANALYST OF SOUTH YORKSHIRE POLICE "JUSTICE WITH COURAGE"





Exodus 20:16

"Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbour."



REASONS SHOWING EVIDENCE OF SUPPORT FOR MY CASE

Evidence for support for my stance has come in various forms. These include the following:

- My stance has featured in the latest books of former MEP Richard Cottrell and Dr David Ray Griffin; a Christian Theologian prolific author on the truth about 9/11.
- An appeal fighting fund and other financial donations from supporters which has raised about £12,000.
- A petition calling for reinstatement has over 600 signatories full of positive comments.
- A case study showing the extent of support I have from the Islamic Party of Britain.
- Appearances on the Alex Jones show in America
- Support from other very prominent Muslims Leaders both locally and from afar.
- A statement to illustrate the level of support and the abject failure of the Independent Police Complaints Commission to respond effectively to complaints about my tribunal in Sheffield.
- Over thirty known websites showing very positive encouragement with respect to my stance.
- The numerous alternative media radio and TV interviews and talks I have given with tremendous feedback
- High viewing figures and overwhelmingly support comments with respect to my stance and analysis.
- Positive coverage of my case in high profile alternative media and alternative newspapers journals.
- The credibility and prominence of those people that have come to my assistance.

CREDIBILITY & PROMINENCE OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE SHOWN SUPPORT

- Ian R Crane geo-political analyst and my lay represent me at employment tribunal.
- Dr Rory Ridley Duff, set up petition a senior lecturer at Sheffield Hallam University.
- David Pidcock current leader of the Islamic Party of Britain.
- Dr Mohammad Naseem, as the chairperson of Birmingham Central Mosque..
- David Ray Griffin retired American professor of philosophy of religion and theology.
- Ex Sheffield City Councillor Illyas Khan a Muslim and community leader
- Brian Gerrish interviews and appearances with former naval commander plus articles in UK Column
- Richard Cottrell former MEP and author
- Alex Jones One of biggest alternative media broadcasters on the Planet.
- Lord Nazir Ahmed
- Thousands of good folks in the Truth movement who know something is rotten in the state of . .

THE APPEAL FUND

Following the tribunals hearing on 9th September 2011, supporters rallied. My lay representative, Ian R Crane invited me on Edge TV for tenth anniversary of the 9/11 attacks. Simultaneously, Richard D Hall - host of the Rich-Planet investigative broadcasting programme - voluntarily set up an Appeal Fund to fight on. During the Edge TV programme, a flood of donations and were received from viewers. Thanks to people's generosity, sufficient funds were immediately raised to pay the court costs that had been sprung on me.



THE PETITION

Dr Rory Ridley-Duff created a petition on <u>www.change.org</u> in September 2011. The University Lecturer had been present for much of the 3-day hearing and had previously written a widely circulated paper on the London Bombings entitled "Theorising Truth: Whatever happened at Canary Wharf". The petition triggers a letter sent to Sheffield Employment Tribunal and the Independent Police Complaints Commission. The letter can be seen opposite. There are over 600 signatories and a many positive comments.



COMPLAINTS - EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL / INDEPENDENT POLICE COMPLAINTS COMMISSION

David Pidcock, (previous page - middle left) was one of the first to sign my petition and offer support. David had attended most of my hearing alongside former Sheffield City Councillor Illyas Khan. Both as upstanding individuals were distressed by the proceedings they witnessed in the Sheffield Employment Tribunal. David Pidcock pursued the complaint against the Independent Police Complaints Commission providing a very detailed letter outlining all his reasons for having serious misgivings. However, like most allegations of corruption, the IPCC have once again shown abject failure to investigate their own kind. So appalled was David Pidcock by what he witnessed, that he wrote an open letter to David Cameron expressing dissatisfaction with proceedings. The extent to which such concerns are just ignored is indicative of extreme prejudice against Muslims over issues as serious and important as the counter terrorism strategy where they are being falsely blamed for atrocities they plainly did not commit.



PROMINENT MUSLIMS OFFERING SUPPORT AND ENCOURAGEMENT

Four other prominent Muslims have offered support and spoken out in my defence. These are Lord Nazir Ahmed from Rotherham; Dr Mohammad Naseem, already mentioned; Moeen Yaseen, Executive Director of Global Vision 2000 who spoke out in my defence at the second State and Corporate Crimes Against Democracy Conference and US radio talk show host Kevin Barrett, a former university lecturer, Muslim convert and a member of the Scientific Panel for the Investigation of 9/11 and is a founding member of the Muslim-Jewish-Christian Alliance (MUJCA), with the stated aim of improving "interfaith dialogue, coexistence, and understanding" in light of the events of 9/11.



Dr Mohammad Nasseem at the Birmingham Central Mosque organised support to help keep is case alive .



Subject: EMPLOYMENT APPEAL TRIBUNAL LONDON JUNE 15TH 2012 MR. TONY FARRELL 81. Worsborough Road, Hoyland S74 0RG

Date: Wed, 30 May 2012 11:38:47 +0100

FOR THE ATTENTION OF MR. TONY FARRELL

Dear Mr. Farrell,

I write to wish you well in your forthcoming Employment Appeal Tribunal hearing in London on the 15th June 2012, and I further wish to offer you some words of encouragement in your pursuit of the truth. As you know, after I was made aware of your dismissal from South Yorkshire Police, I attended your Unfair Dismissal Hearing in the Sheffield Employment Tribunal last September, and I was deeply disturbed by what I witnessed. The treatment you have been subjected to by South Yorkshire Police is astonishing and I fail to see why they found or felt it necessary to dismiss you for being completely honest about the analysis you were asked to undertake on *strategic threats.* Talk about shooting the messenger springs readily to mind here. It seemed an irrational and perverse decision. The response from Mr Reg Littleboy in his role as the Chairperson of the Police Authority Appeals Committee was startling to say the least. In candidly admitting that as Chairperson he did nothing to investigate the veracity of the analysis provided by you because he found your views on 9/11 and 7/7 as too "outlandish", he was clearly demonstrating deeply held prejudicial views which I found totally unacceptable. As for what I witnessed in the courtroom itself, it seems erroneous that your analysis on the strategic threat on terrorism is viewed as *irrelevant* to local communities by the Senior Command Team of South Yorkshire Police. There are many Muslims living in Sheffield and Rotherham, who find your views and analysis highly relevant to their local policing needs. The Senior Command Team of South Yorkshire Police are not representing the views of the Muslim community here - that much is painfully obvious.

Muslims have been accused of committing the 9/11 and 7/7 attacks, yet guilt has never been established. Indeed guilt has been assumed on the basis of fundamentally flawed narratives, designed to deceive the ignorant and create exploitable waves of public indignation. Fortunately some people are finally waking up to this fact, because the official line does not hold up to scrutiny – I don't need to tell you that this is alarming and extremely dangerous. Sadly, until now, most people have turned a blind eye to these uncomfortable facts – primarily because Questioning the establishment on this particular subject is treated as a mental illness, and sadly South Yorkshire Police demonstrated that reprehensible trait with you. Is that their best and only defence? It is worrying when our judicial system perpetuates such injustices. The maxim *innocent until proven guilty* has been reversed in the case of the 7/7 London Bombings and it has become guilty until proven innocent. The Government driven Counter Terrorism Strategy has been rolled out on the assumption that \underline{dI} . Muslims (not simply young



WEBSITE SUPPORT, COMMENTS AND BLOGS

In excess of thirty websites have demonstrated encouragement and support. Geographically, support has come internationally from USA, Iran, France, Ireland, Scotland, and Wales. Closer to home, support has come from London, Kent, Brighton, Bristol, Plymouth, Birmingham, Derby, Sheffield, Leeds, Manchester, Oldham, Blackburn, Liverpool and Blackpool. Comments such as those highlighted on the "Terror-On-The-Tube" website show overwhelming support. The quality of discussion on the websites shown overleaf provides a stark contrast to the "gutter" press of today. By way of an example, the Sun Newspaper unwarranted ugly character assassination attempt of me contrasts with the thoughtful and polite musings of "Truthseeker" who observed events at the Sheffield Employment Tribunal and subsequently provided balanced and extremely thought provoking views more than meriting the Employment Appeal Judge's attention in my opinion.

radicalised boys) are to be considered as a serious potential threat to national and local security.

During my 50 years living and working in this country - including the many spent serving as an elected Sheffield City Councillor, I have had the great good fortune of engaging with dozens of first class serving officers, of all ranks, who were exceedingly honest, caring and courageous, who served, and continue to serve the community well, but who told me on more than one occasion that their hands were often tied by policies with which they disagree. Muslims are becoming fearful in such a climate and feel they dare not speak openly about perceived injustices.

As Principal Intelligence Analyst, you had the courage to challenge that strategy as you had reasonable cause to suspect that it is discriminatory against Muslims living in Britain, who are, in various guises, being targeted under police intelligence gathering exercises referred to as the *Rich Picture*; which I believe placed Muslims in areas of Sheffield such a Nether Edge, under round the clock surveillance. I applaud your courageous stance, and believe you are absolutely correct in your analysis and I wish you well with your appeal in London and your wider fight for justice for the 56 with reference to 7/7.

Whilst writing, I also wish to confirm that I agree with you and many others – across <u>all</u> communities – that the country needs a Full IPI [Independent Public Inquiry] outside the excessive restrictions of the Inquiries Act of 2005. I also thoroughly recommend that before coming to a conclusion that all involved in your hearing view 7-7 RIPPLE EFFECT on YOUTUBE.

With much appreciation and thanks, I remain

Yours sincerely ILYAS Khan 29 FIRTH PARK ROAD SHEFFIELD S5 6WL

m:2m



WEBSITES SUPPORTIVE OF MY STANCE - BOGUS THREAT & LIES OF 9/11, 7/7 & WARS







SEVEN SIDES FOR SEVEN OTHERS 1. A MESSAGE TO THE JUDGE - THE TRUTHSEEKER (KEVIN BOYLE) – SOMEBODY ELSE'S BUSINESS

Tony Farrell, 'Principal Intelligence Analyst' For South Yorkshire Police (the UK's 18th largest force), was sacked in July 2010 for submitting in his annual review that the major threat of terrorism against British people came from within the UK state apparatus rather than from Islamic fundamentalists. Mr Farrell had worked in this position for twelve years and his previous work record was, his employers admitted, 'impeccable'.

However, in this 2010 report he asserted that both 7/7 and 9/11 were 'inside jobs'. One can understand the dilemma this posed for South Yorkshire Police. One can understand his being sacked. Tony himself well understood that this would almost certainly be the outcome of his actions.

I attended the first day of Tony Farrell's hearing in the Sheffield Employment Tribunal yesterday. Tony cannot afford legal representation but this probably matters little. He is being assisted / represented by well-known public speaker and polymath Ian R Crane. I had attended a 'Friends of Tony' gathering the previous evening and it was clear that the substance of the appeal would be to pursue the 'procedural' argument, i.e. that procedures were violated by the SYP resorting to summary dismissal without reviewing or investigating any of his analysis that had caused the dismissal.

However, in court, while listening to the interrogation of the SYP witnesses I found it difficult not to sink into a sort of gloom. Nit-picking exchanges over nuances of procedure somehow missed the point. The Director of Finance who sacked TF was a transparently decent man. One could easily understand the 'impossible' position in which he found himself. Any normal person would naturally ask themselves, "What else was he supposed to do?" This man even said that Tony Farrell's allegations "could be right."....but it turns out that the UK police policy on 'terrorism' is the responsibility of the Metropolitan Police.

Someone else's business - The same game goes for the Tribunal, three men who bent over backwards to cover every detail of the actions of SYP and offered Tony Farrell every courtesy, full respect and sometimes necessary patience as he required time to respond to various thrusts in the evidence. For this Tribunal too, the content of Tony Farrell's analysis is 'somebody else's business'. It is their job only to address evidential matters relating points of law previously overlooked or misapplied. One might ask what would have happened if Tony Farrell had worked for the Metropolitan Police. There is little doubt he would have been sacked by them also. They would have said that they take their directions on anti-terrorism' policy from the 'security services'. But it is the 'security services' who are being accused by Tony Farrell (and many, many others) of carrying out the 7/7 terror attacks.

For parliament and the mass media too the truth about 7/7 is "somebody else's business". The narrative emanating from the 'security services' is treated as gospel in spite of the extraordinary anomalies, contradictions and pure lies that are promoted as truth in the public domain. The truth about this appeal is that the outcome of the case depends entirely on the panel's interpretation of the word "reasonable". They could easily find that South Yorkshire Police were being 'reasonable' in every way when they sacked Tony Farrell because the issues he raised were "someone else's business". But such extraordinary and serious allegations are surely everybody's business! If the Tribunal were to admit this to themselves then the reaction of South Yorkshire Police to TF's 'annual review' take on an entirely different complexion.

From this perspective Tony Farrell's former employers were entirely Unreasonable in their actions and if the same South Yorkshire Police had carried out a little investigation into Tony Farrell's allegations, here are just a few of the facts (emerging from published and submitted 7/7 evidence) they would have discovered:

- That there were THREE holes in the Edgware Road carriage, one large hole by the first set of single doors and two holes, one large and one smaller in front of the first set of double doors. Individuals fell into all three holes. two emerged. One died. In what way is this scenario possibly compatible with a suicide-bomber carrying one rucksack of home-made liquid explosive?
- A Dr Morgan Costello was sent by police on to two of the trains to count the dead bodies. He counted Six on the Aldgate coach and Seven at Edgware Road. These numbers exactly match the numbers of civilian dead but

where are the bombers? The numbers should have been seven and eight. Here is the clearest possible evidence that the so-called suicide bombers were not on the trains. The narrative has adjusted to this anomaly by claiming that Tanweer's body (Aldgate) was splattered into 52 different pieces. But this violates common sense. The evidence places many people all around Tanweer on the train, yet nearly all the other serious injuries were to feet and lower limbs.

- There were 4 different drills around 7/7, one at the very time of the attacks, that mimicked what actually occurred with more-than-uncanny accuracy. For those who study such matters here is an ever-present and defining fingerprint of the false-flag attack template.
- Regarding the explosives used, the first analysis came from a genuine expert. Superintendent Christophe Chaboud, chief of French anti-terrorism Coordination Unit who was in London assisting Scotland Yard with its investigation, confirmed to The Times that, 'The nature of the explosives appears to be military, which is very worrying.....the material used were not homemade but sophisticated military explosives ...' This is surely the most reliable commentary on the nature of the bombs that went off that morning. The problem is that it would have been all-but-impossible for four young men from Leeds to get their hands on such materials.
- Between 2005 and today the official story developed onwards from explosives 'C4' to 'TAPT' to, finally, a mixture of black pepper and boiled-down hydrogen peroxide bought from a local warehouse.

There are literally hundreds of other anomalies that SYP could have discovered for themselves through EASILY ACCESSIBLE AND OPEN OFFICIAL SOURCES. No one, it seems, excepting people like Mr Farrell, are prepared to do this important work. The consensus appears to be that this is 'SOMEONE ELSE'S BUSINESS'. When we are being mired in wars that no sensible person wants, when the media today are signalling new possible wars with Syria, Iran and Venezuela, when we see the beginnings of our children being mired in debt slavery before they even begin their working lives, when they are told they will never own their own homes, when the prospect of a lifetime of central finance-controlled Soviet-style subjugation looms for our yet-unborn grandchildren, WHOSE BUSINESS IS IT? WHOSE?

Once men and women swallow mainstream fictions, however widely accepted, and abandon principle we are doomed and we have no one to blame but our individual selves. The fact is that South Yorkshire Police should have honestly investigated Tony Farrell's allegations. Normal procedures demand it and although THE SYP's behaviour was emotionally and culturally reasonable, in principle it was NOT reasonable. Everybody in the courtroom understands the extraordinary nature of this case and the possible alarming and dangerous consequences (for individuals involved) of anything other than the obvious verdict. But this case transcends matters of established employment procedures or even broader matters of law.

It should be clear to everyone that this is a matter of visceral and vital importance and that the arena of battle is not firstly a tribunal premises but the individual human soul. The battleground is our own hearts and minds. Deep down everybody in this court understands the issues. It is just that some are afraid (perhaps understandably) to face them and some are not. An easy path for avoiding them has been laid down for, not just the tribunal, but for everyone else....by an owned mainstream media and a supine and invisible parliament. We must appeal to the Tribunal not to take this path. If charges against the state are made, however seemingly outrageous, let us at least demand that relevant evidence be investigated.

If the Police will not do it, who will protect us? If the Law will not demand it, where is Justice? THESE MATTERS ARE EVERYBODY'S BUSINESS. I appeal to the members of this Tribunal to look deeply within themselves. Let the system that is put in place to serve us AT LAST do so. Let the message go out that we, whoever we are, demand to be told the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth of these matters.

Let us also not forget that our culture was founded on Christ's teachings and such words as these: "YOUR JUSTICE MUST EXCEED THAT OF THE SCRIBES AND PHARISEES WHO STRAIN AT THE GNAT BUT SWALLOW THE CAMEL."

2. A MESSAGE TO THE SUN FROM DR RORY RIDLEY DUFF



STOCK UP AND SAVE MORE Click here for more great offe et stores. Soldert to availability while stocks last. Priora/offers may van

7/7 'was a plot by the Govt'

Bizarre cop expert claim

By ROBIN PERRIE Published: 18 Jul 2011

COPS are being sued by an intelligence chief they sacked — over a dossier accusing the GOVERNMENT of being behind the 7/7 bombings.





Dear Sun,

I recently met Tony Farrell and discussed his reasons for pursuing his case against his former employer. He has good reason to believe what he believes and seemed to me to be in full command of his faculties. The issue in this case is identical to the one currently under investigation at the News of the World - namely that people should not be afraid to report the truth at work or be subject to the threat of the sack for fully informed and well researched assessments of the current terrorist threat.



STOCK UP AND SAVE MORE

Click here for more great offe

Tony Farrell, 51, handed in an annual "threat assessment" report after falling for a conspiracy theory he stumbled across on the internet.

Police chiefs were stunned to be told by their adviser on where to concentrate resources that the Government was the "enemy within".

He also claimed the risk from Islamic extremists was zero and tackling crime and protecting vulnerable people was "irrelevant". Mr Farrell, a £35,000-a-year civilian worker for South Yorkshire Police, was sacked after he refused to get his mental state checked by a doctor.

He is suing for unfair dismissal and demanding £140,000 damages.

The divorced dad-of-two, from Barnsley, insisted yesterday: "I was appalled and shocked by what I discovered everything we had been told by the Government was a lie.

The characterisation and misrepresentation of Tony Farrell's views (editorial 18th July) does The Sun no credit whatsoever. It adds to the view created by the investigation at the News of the World (that the Murdoch media empire is rotten to the core). Rory Ridley-Duff Sheffield Hallam University <u>http://www.roryridleyduff.com</u> Educator / Writer



3) DR DAVID RAY GRIFFIN 9/11 TEN YEARS LATER: WHEN STATE CRIMES AGAINST DEMOCRACY SUCEED – FARRELL THE NEW WHISTLEBLOWER

David Ray Griffin

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

For other people named David Griffin, see David Griffin (disambiguation).

David Ray Griffin (born 1939) is a retired American professor of philosophy of religion and theology. Along with John B. Cobb, Jr., he founded the Center for Process Studies in 1973, a research center of Claremont School of Theology which seeks to promote the common good by means of the relational approach found in process thought.^[1]

More recently, Griffin has published a number of books on the subject of the September 11 attacks, suggesting that there was a conspiracy involving some elements of the United States government.^[2]

Contents [hide]

- 1 Life and professional career
- 2 Statements and publications on the September 11 attacks
- 3 Affiliations
- 4 References
- 5 Books
 - 5.1 About Philosophy, Theology, Religion and Nature Science
 - 5.2 About the September 11 attacks
- 6 External links



David Ray Griffin

Born

August 8, 1939





All in total, David Ray Griffin has written ten books on 9/11. His latest is called 9/11 Ten Years Later. In its final chapter entitled "When State Crimes Against Democracy Succeed", Dr Griffin comments on the case of Tony Farrell in a sub section headed "Insiders willing to speak up". In describing my case on the bases of my first interview with Richard D Hall which went viral across the internet, Dr Griffin sees me as a whistleblower. Having never communicated with each other, his insertion of my story came as a great surprise. Dr Griffin states: "As this book was going to press, Farrell was waiting for the hearing on his appeal(meaning the Sheffield Employment Tribunal). He knew that his appeal would be likely to be turned down and he knew from the beginning that his stance might seriously harm his financial security. But he had no doubt that he had done the right thing. He hoped that his example might lead others to follow suit, with the result that somehow a greater body will stand up for the truth. For full extract see opposite.

DR DAVID RAY GRIFFIN'S LATEST BOOK ON 9/11 FEATURING TONY FARRELL'S CASE (page 292)

Г

L

L

I

I.

I

П

Γ

П

П

L

П

9/11 TEN YEARS LATER

WHEN STATE CRIMES AGAINST DEMOCRACY SUCCEED

I

L

L

I

L

I

Т

L

I

I

heed to political pressures. There was no chance that NIST people "investigating" the 9/11 situation could have been acting in the true spirit of scientific independence..... Everything that came from the hired guns was by then routinely filtered through the front office, and assessed for political implications before release.

In fact, this whistleblower said, all reports were examined by NIST's front office and three external oversight groups: the National Security Agency, the Department of Commerce ("which ... wouldn't permit us to release papers ... without changes to conform to their way of looking at things"), and the White House's Office of Management and Budget (which "had a policy person specifically delegated to provide oversight on our work").³⁹ Although this scientist, fearing possible retaliation, wishes to remain anonymous, his identify is known to physicist Steven Jones.⁶⁰

This whistleblower's report has been very helpful, confirming the truth of suspicions that many of us had and giving us additional information. This report significantly informed my 2009 book, *The Mysterious Collapse of World Trade Center 7*, especially the first chapter, "NIST as a Political, Not a Scientific, Agency."⁶⁴

_ _ _

B A New Whistleblower

9/11 TEN YEARS LATER

r I On July 8, 2011, a TV program, "The Rich Planet Starship," carried an interview of Tony Farrell, who the previous year had become aware of evidence that 9/11 had been an "inside job." This discovery created a problem, because Farrell for several years had been the Principal Intelligence Analyst for the South Yorkshire Police. (South Yorkshire is the southern region of Yorkshire, a northern county, England's largest.) As the intelligence analyst, Farrell had the duty of presenting his annual assessment to the Intelligence Strategic Management Board. In 2010, this assessment was due on July 8. But shortly before this date, he came across information on the Internet that 9/11 had been a false-flag attack. Although previously Farrell had entertained no such ideas, he found the evidence compelling.

on his appeal. He knew that this appeal was likely to be turned down, and he knew from the beginning that his stance might seriously harm his financial security. But he had no doubt that he had done the right thing. He hoped that his example might lead others to follow suit, with the result that somehow a "greater body" will stand up for truth.⁶²

'It is to be hoped that the 9/11 Truth Movement, building on the examples of Ryan, Farrell, and the former NIST scientist, will help create a "greater body" of 9/11 (and 7/7) whistleblowers – people who spoke out while in organizations that concealed evidence that the official 9/11 story is false.

2 Converting Leading Journalists

I pointed out in the second and third chapters that, although most of the peace and anti-war websites and publications have not supported the 9/11 Truth Movement, and have even criticized it, they should be natural allies. On the one hand, the 9/11 Movement's effort to fight against the war machine could be given experience and expertise through association with wise and experienced members in the peace and anti-war movements. On the other hand, a union could revitalize the peace/anti-war movements, providing them with thousands of new workers.

Most important, such a union would overcome the primary weakness from which the peace/anti-war efforts have suffered since 9/11. Paul Craig Roberts has written:

The unwillingness or inability to entertain any view of 9/11 different from the official view dooms to impotence many Internet sites that are opposed to the wars and to the rise of the domestic US police state. These sites, for whatever the reasons, accept the government's explanation of 9/11; yet, they try to oppose the "war on terror" and the police state which are the consequences of accepting the government's explanation. Trying to oppose the consequences of an event whose explanation you accept is an impossible task.⁶⁵ Having been encouraged to look at the 7/7 attacks in London as well, he studied this information and concluded that it, too, was a false-flag attack.

Given his new perspective, Farrell was then convinced that the threat was internal. But he knew that his superiors would not allow him to tell the board that the most serious threat was internal. The Director of Intelligence encouraged him to just go ahead and present the report that he had planned to give before he developed his new perspective. But after having at first thought he would do this, he decided that he could not, given his strong Christian beliefs – which include, among other things, the prohibition against bearing false witness against neighbors. To make the expected threat assessment report, he concluded, would have made him complicit in the crime. Also, he was convinced that the public is becoming increasingly aware that 9/11 and 7/7 were false-flag attacks. So if the governments keep pretending to believe that these events were the products of external terrorists, there will come a "tipping point," when community adhesion will be impossible.

When it became clear to Farrell's superior that he would not agree to conceal his beliefs, he was dismissed. But his superiors expressed no animosity toward Farrell, and they did not even say that he was wrong. They said, in fact, that he might well be right. But he had to be dismissed because his beliefs were incompatible with his position as intelligence analyst: The South Yorkshire Police could not allege that 9/11 and 7/7 had been inside jobs.

Although at first Farrell was not planning to appeal his termination, he decided that he must, for at least two reasons. One was that he had been fired for truthfully expressing his beliefs – truthfulness that reflects the police force's own code of professional standards, which speaks of honesty and integrity. A second reason was that the lies about 9/11 and 7/7 involve "corruption in the highest places of a monstrous kind." So his conscience told him that he had to appeal his termination.

As this book was going to press, Farrell was waiting for the hearing

WHEN STATE CRIMES AGAINST DEMOCRACY SUCCEED

Although Roberts wrote this in terms of Internet sites, the same logic applies to any publication or organization that works to oppose war and promote peace. I discussed above many of the consequences of 9/11, from extraordinary rendition to the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan (and now Pakistan and Libya) to the ever-increasing percentage of the annual budget that goes for war. Is Roberts not right – that trying to oppose the consequences of 9/11 while accepting the government's explanation of it is an impossible task?

This would surely make sense to many peace and anti-war leaders. And because these are intelligent people, the 9/11 evidence will surely convince at least most of them, if only they will look at it. My suggestion for the next "step of a thousand miles" is that various 9/11 leaders work on various leaders of these organizations, publications, and Internet sites, trying to convince these people to examine some of the new evidence. In Chapter 3, I suggested Bill Moyers and Robert Parry, who are honest and courageous as well as intelligent, would be good places to start.

The 9/11 Truth Movement has taken great strides in its first decade. Let us set for ourselves this goal: to have the 9/11 crime against democracy exposed well before the end of the second 9/11 decade.

Conclusion

Getting the 9/11 lie exposed is essential. One obvious reason is simple justice. There needs to be justice for the 9/11 family members, who must be told the truth, and who must be given recompense – in whatever sense this can be done – for this crime committed by forces within the American government. There also needs to be justice in the sense of punishment for those who engineered this crime. They perhaps considered themselves patriots, because 9/11 was going to stimulate the US economy by increasing military spending and by opening up oil resources. But whether or not that plan is regarded as having worked out, those Americans, including military leaders, who engineered this operation are guilty of murder and treason.

4: THE RESPONDENTS - NIGEL HILLER - DIRECTOR OF FINANCE SOUTH YORKSHIRE POLICE

Dismissing Officer Director of Finance

"Your views if anything have strengthened and are unlikely to change unless you find personal evidence which enables you to challenge your present opinions."



"I cannot see there is any alternative, as you yourself have reflected today, other than to dismiss you on the grounds that your continuing employment with the Force as Principal Analyst is untenable. It is a very sad occasion as you have done some excellent work for South Yorkshire Police and I have never been in a situation like today. Your views are very sincere and you may be right but it is I am afraid incompatible at the moment with where we are."

& REG LITTLEBOY - THE CHAIR OF THE SOUTH YORKSHIRE POLICE APPEALS COMMITTEE

CHAIR OF SYP APPEALS COMMITTEE

Question: Mr Littleboy did you at any time investigate the veracity of Mr Farrell's analysis?

Answer: No, I considered Mr Farrell's views and conspiracy theories as outlandish!





5: THE VIEWS OF DR RORY RIDLEY DUFF, SHEFFIELD HALLAM UNIVERSITY

As a Senior Lecturer at Sheffield Business School, Rory is course leader for the university's MSc Cooperative and Social Enterprise Management degree. In 2009, he became a HEFCE/UnLtd Ambassador for Social Entrepreneurship in Higher Education, and has been elected to the boards of Co-operatives Yorkshire & Humber, and Social Enterprise Yorkshire & Humber.

He is the author of four books, including Understanding Social Enterprise: Theory and Practice from Sage Publications and has written numerous articles including a paper on the London bombings. The abstract of which can be seen below.

After attending much of the three day employment tribunal in Sheffield, Rory created the petition on <u>www.change.org</u> calling upon South Yorkshire Police to reinstate Tony Farrell as the Principal Intelligence Analyst. He assisted Ian R Crane in presenting my case at short notice during the hearing. His own personal reasons for signing the petition were stated as follows:

Rory Ridley-Duff (Petition Organizer) 8 months ago

I want the people responsible for assessing the threat to the region in which I live in to be honest and diligent. At the moment, the only thing I am sure about is that whoever is doing the threat assessment, they can only report views that are 'compatible' with those of their superior officers. That leaves the people of South Yorkshire less safe.

The principal intelligence analyst - of all people in the police service - needs to be free of political control to reach the best analysis they can. Only then can they do their job effectively.

Theorising Truth

What Happened at Canary Wharf on 7th July 2005?

Dr Rory Ridley-Duff, Sheffield Hallam University.

r.ridlev-duff@shu.ac.uk

Abstract

This paper uses three different theories of truth to consider claims broadcast in two documentaries about the London bombings of 7 th July 2005: 7/7 Ripple Effect and the BBC's Conspiracy Files: 7/7. 7/7 Ripple Effect argues that the alleged bombers were not in central London when the bombs exploded, and supports this with press reports of shootings at Canary Wharf. To test this claim, press reports from Canary Wharf were retrieved using a search of the Nexis UK News Database for the period 7th to 30th July 2005. Further searches were made using Google to locate blogs and discussion forum archives from 7 th July 2005. The findings are assessed using three different theories of truth. When adopting a correspondence theory of truth, it is just plausible that the evidence found supports the theory implicit in the BBC documentary. The theory presented in 7/7 Ripple Effect is also plausible. When deploying a coherence theory of truth, the thesis put forward by the government and BBC collapses due to low probability that four men would choose the same targets, at the same time, and on the same day as a simulated crisis management exercise organised by Visor Consultants. The thesis put forward in 7/7 Ripple Effect remains coherent with available evidence. A social constructivist (critical) perspective identifies cultural and political interests that influence the selection and interpretation of available evidence. While the paper concludes that both documentaries construct truth that supports their political outlook and agenda, the theory advanced in 7/7 Ripple Effect is better able to explain anomalies in the official account as well as the evidence of a crisis at Canary Wharf on the same day.

6: THE VIEWS OF IAN R CRANE (ALSO SHARED BY DR RORY RIDLEY DUFF)



Summation presented at the conclusion of Tony Farrell's Employment Tribunal Friday 9th September 2011 So, what is the legal case that Tony Farrell was unlawfully dismissed? To show that his dismissal was unlawful, we have to demonstrate that South Yorkshire Police did not conduct an investigation that satisfies the standards required in Employment Law. To demonstrate that, we must also show that Tony Farrell's view was not based on his religious beliefs (other than his deep religious conviction to tell the truth), but on evidence that was sufficiently credible to warrant changing the crime threat assessment model used to guide local policing priorities. Indeed, this was precisely the view of Judge Rostant in his reserved judgement at the pre-hearing review, issued on 16th June 2011; where he stated, "Mr Farrell has reviewed all the evidence and come to the conclusion that the evidence points in one direction and not another. Whilst as an intelligent man he is prepared to admit that he might be wrong in his analysis, he does not believe himself to be wrong." (Page 42L in Bundle).

We do not ask you to believe that evidence, or agree with the conclusions that Tony Farrell has drawn from his analysis; only that he was following the Police Staff Council's Standards of Professional Behaviour, with specific reference to the section on 'Honesty & Integrity' (Page 269 in Bundle). We submit that Mr Farrell was indeed upholding the highest professional ideals by refusing to sign off or submit a misleading report. His only motivation was to ensure that the 'Strategic Threat & Risk Assessment Matrix' took full, unadulterated account of issues of relevance, validity, reliability and integrity. It is our contention that the South Yorkshire Police Authority made no effort to establish the validity of the evidence discovered and offered by Mr Farrell, and therefore did not put itself into a position to lawfully dismiss him. Thus, we would contend that it is the failure of South Yorkshire Police, the South Yorkshire Police Authority and the South Yorkshire Police Authority's Appeals Committee, to undertake ANY review of Mr Farrell's submission, means that the investigation was not thorough; did not take into account all relevant factors and was therefore unlawful. With regard to the validity of Mr Farrell's newly discovered 'World View', we would offer the following examples of evidence to rebut claims made yesterday. We do not do this to make a political point, and we do not to seek to convince you of its veracity, but to demonstrate that Mr Farrell was exercising his well developed capacity for reason. This example, we contend, would lead any open-minded person to want to review the inferences behind the model being offered on the Terrorism domain of the Strategic Threat & Risk Assessment Matrix (as outlined in 'A Picture of an Ignoble Lie ... Page 83 in the Bundle).

NOTE: - At this point a copy of Dr Rory Ridley-Duff's paper titled: 'Theorising Truth: What Happened at Canary Wharf on 7th July 2005?' was passed to the Court Clerk ... but Judge Little refused to accept the document!

This example shows that there is credible evidence that generates serious research activity. We would also point to the thousands of professionals such as Professor David Ray Griffin (author of 'The New Pearl Harbour'; The 9/11 Commission Report - Omissions & Distortions' & '9/11 and the Christian Faith'; as well as the many highly regarded academics and professionals in bodies like "Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth", "Scholars for 9/11 Truth" and "Pilots for 9/11 Truth" who discuss evidence (or lack of) in professional and academic journals. This is clear evidence, all freely available in the public domain, that Mr Littleboy (Chairman of South Yorkshire Police Authority Appeals Panel) believes does not exist. This example is necessary today to refute the evidence given yesterday by Mr Littleboy; thereby countering the claim by Mr Littleboy that anyone questioning the government accounts of 7/7 or 9/11 is holding, and I quote 'Outlandish views'. In this regard, Mr Littleboy's impartialty as Chairman of the Appeals panel was compromised by his deeply held personal view.

[THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPH IS VITALLY IMPORTANT]

If you conclude that there was enough credible evidence for Mr Farrell to be professionally concerned about the level of threat, you must also conclude that the disciplinary investigation does not meet the standards required by Employment Law. An investigation must be thorough. It must take into account ALL relevant factors before reaching a conclusion. It must not reach a conclusion in advance of the hearing. In this case, the investigation was not thorough ... indeed, we would contend that it was non-existent. Therefore, the investigation (such as it was), did not consider all relevant factors. As a result, by failing to undertake any investigation, South Yorkshire Police effectively prejudged the outcome of the September 2nd dismissal hearing and this, by definition, makes the decision unlawful.

The "investigations" at both the dismissal hearing and subsequent appeal were too superficial and spent a disproportionate amount of time questioning or discussing Tony Farrell's mental health ... despite the Force Medical Advisor's Report of 27th July 2010 stating, *"There is at this point no evidence of an ongoing underlying medical condition."* (Page 122 in Bundle). The evidence from both Mr Hiller and Mr Littleboy is absolutely clear and there is no doubt. Nobody - not an analyst; not a manager; not a member of the disciplinary panel; nor the appeal panel, spent any time investigating the evidence that underpins Mr Farrell's changed view on the strategic threat associated with the terrorism domain. There is absolutely no evidence that anyone considered any evidence before concluding that Mr Farrell's views were 'outlandish'!

This is highly relevant from the point of view of Employment Law. There were no lawful grounds for dismissing Mr Farrell because nobody at South Yorkshire Police had established the facts of the case. Mr Farrell updated his view on the threat after considering evidence. This cannot be said for any other party involved in the disciplinary hearing or the appeal. The standards required by Employment Law have not been met.

Let us now turn to the claim that awareness of a New World Order - and investigating the global context for terrorism is not relevant to the crime threat in South Yorkshire. I ask you to consider the view that this knowledge is both helpful and integral to updating the local crime threat assessment. At present, the British Government takes the view that a terrorist threat exists in Yorkshire because of local community connections to Pakistan. The official government narrative on the events of 7/7, claims that people in Yorkshire, and particularly elements within the Pakistani communities of Yorkshire, are a potential terrorist threat to the UK.

Sheffield, in South Yorkshire, has two Pakistani communities: one in Sharrow and the other in Nether Edge. It is beholden on the Principal Analyst to consider the impact upon the community of any local connections to a global Islamic network.

It is therefore, surely, an imperative that the Principal Analyst is prepared to change the assumptions about the level of threat from that community on the basis of newly collected evidence. We contend that 'Global terrorism' has a very real potential for local impact: it is not separate from other crime assessments because its presence or absence will affect how a Principal Analyst assesses many other forms of crime (such as money laundering, or incitement to religious hatred).

Let us finally consider the issue of whether it is the job of the police to take the government perspective on crime, and terrorism in particular. Miss Barker, Mr Hiller and Mr Littleboy all take the view that anyone holding views on 7/7 and 9/11 similar to Mr Farrell's, cannot work for South Yorkshire Police because their views are 'incompatible' with those of the force. This surely cannot be grounds for dismissal in Employment Law.

Not only do we regularly learn of police forces investigating the crimes of politicians, we also see international tribunals in which people from governments are held to account for acts against their own people. The police are free to investigate the acts of politicians if there are firm grounds to believe they have broken the law. This is fundamental to our democratic culture and we urge you not to set a precedent for changing it.

If the government acts unlawfully, it falls to individual members of the police force to raise, and then investigate, the actions of its members. If warranted, the police have the power to take cases to the courts. This is central to our constitution. If it were otherwise, we would be living under Police State fascism.

We trust the tribunal will consider the implications of agreeing with Miss Barker, Mr Hiller and Mr Littleboy on this matter. It cannot be compatible with Employment Law for individuals within the police force to act unlawfully to protect their employer, and cover up acts *(including government acts)* that they firmly believe to be unlawful. It MUST be possible for people working in the police force to act congruently with their professional obligation to uphold the truth and protect the public from crime; including the crimes committed by members of governments. This is what Mr Farrell felt he was doing, and nobody in the police checked his evidence. As a result, there are no lawful grounds to dismiss him.

So, the views of Miss Barker, Mr Hiller and Mr Littleboy on Mr Farrell's 'incompatible views', and belief that it is justifiable to dismiss him for them, cannot be correct in law. Mr Farrell has a professional duty not only to assess the threat of unlawful actions by people in his own community, but also any threat to people of South Yorkshire from elsewhere (including members acting covertly in the name of the government). If he fails to do so, he would be - as was put so tellingly to Mr Hiller (Chairman of South Yorkshire Police Authority's Disciplinary Committee and Financial Controller of SYP) - submitting "incomplete accounts".

Submitting incomplete accounts means submitting a misleading view. In professional circles, knowingly submitting a misleading view can be grounds for prosecution (at worst) or expulsion from the profession (at best). It is entirely understandable that Mr Farrell would not submit a report that - in his professional judgement - was highly misleading. Mr Hiller cannot lawfully dismiss him for upholding the professional standards held by South Yorkshire Police. We trust that Employment Law is on the side of the employee if their actions are in good faith, are based on firm evidence, that their motive is to ensure their employer acts lawfully, and that they are guided by professional standards that protect both the police force and the public.

I cannot think of any profession, except perhaps your own Sir, where being prepared to establish and uphold the truth is more important.

South Yorkshire Police have acted unlawfully in dismissing Mr Farrell. South Yorkshire Police failed to conduct a thorough investigation, failed to establish whether Mr Farrell's view were underpinned by credible evidence, and failed to take all relevant factors into account during both the hearing and subsequent appeal before confirming his dismissal. We ask the tribunal to reinstate him and that he be fully compensated for loss of earnings.

The Tribunal Panel rejected Tony Farrell's appeal against his Unfair Dismissal after deliberating for approximately 3.5 hours

7-7 - THE VIEWS OF DR NICK KOLLESTROM

South Yorkshire Police were indeed fortunate to receive such a profound analysis of the modern terror-threat, and where it was coming from – with an offer to provide backup evidence should they require it. Nothing could have been more valuable to them, for the practical, workaday running of their Force.

Or, if the police found what was handed over to them on July 8th was too shocking and too different from what they expected, then they could easily have taken a few weeks to mull over the matter instead of just giving him prolonged leave then sacking him: because they were under no pressure or urgency to have a new annual position statement. Their own Principal Intel analyst was telling them about the arch-terrorists who had conspired to perpetrate both 9/11 and 7/7.

The police have a primary and overriding duty to *solve crime* – not to be part of some US-exported, government-driven, everlasting 'war on terror' – an utterly bogus concept if ever there was one, guaranteed to poison community relations – which victimises the innocent. But instead, they chose to sack their own analyst because of a wholly correct analysis he was presenting to them, on the subject which he was employed to evaluate.



TALKS /INTERVIEWS GIVEN BY TONY FARRELL SHOWING OVERWHELMING SUPPORT

Since going public with my case after the judgment of Judge Rostant at the Sheffield Employment Tribunal Pre-Hearing Review, I have been invited to attend dozens of TV and radio interviews both at home and abroad. This is indicative of support from very wide ranging and credible sources. Accepting invitations has involved appearing live on air with distinguished interviewers and investigative researchers from America such as Alex Jones¹., Kevin Barrett and Jim Fetzer and closer to home in the form of the likes of Brian Gerrish, Tony Gosling and Ian R Crane to name but a few. The response to these interviews has been extremely encouraging. The table below shows the statistics for minimum youtube viewing figures attained. Indicators have been captured and tabulated below. These include the number of comments left by viewers and the number of likes and dislikes. Besides the healthy numbers of viewings - indicative of public interest - it is the high ratio of likes to dislikes that offers a very clear indication that my stance holds widespread support. The true nature of the support can perhaps best be gleaned from an examination of the comments viewers have left for these you-tube interviews. For example, the impromptu interview I did with film-maker and Researcher Adrian Connock in London, has received well n excess of 12,500 views, and holds nearly 100 "likes" compared with zero "dislikes". Appendix B presents this data as a qualitative case study which offers compelling evidence of support and encouragement. I believe this data is relevant for the Employment Appeal Judge to observe as it offers a polemic position from that held by the Chairperson of South Yorkshire Police Authority who dismissed my case without investigation for holding what he prejudicially considered to be my "outlandish" views.

MAIN TALKS / INTERVIEWS GIVEN BY TONY FARRELL ON STANCE		ΥΟυτυ	BE	COMMENTS	LIKES	DISLIKES
THAT LED TO HIS DISMISSAL (COUNTS ON YOUTUBE VIEWINGS)		VIEWS M	IN.			
TV Interviews Richard D Hall - (Jul 11 & Jan 12)		+20,0	000	57	85	1
TV/Radio Alex Jones Interview on INFOWARS(Jul 11)		+45,0	000	205	291	29
Radio/TV Interview Keelan Balderston (July 11)		5,9	60	83	80	2
TV Short Address - Kent Freedom Movement (Aug 11)		4	66	9	6	1
Talk - New Horizons - Blackpool (Oct 2011)		No data but raised over £650 for Appeal Fund				
Discussion – Edge TV with Ian R Crane and Alex G		No data but appeal fund advertised & raised > £4k				
Talk - We Are Change - Manchester Talk (Nov 11)		2,2	217	22	47	2
TV Interview - Joanne Summerscales - 12 Bases (Jan 12)		1,8	318	18	24	1
TV Interview - As above with Tony with A. Johnson & Yasmin Cass		6,5	97	23	52	3
TV Interview - Adrian Connock - 7/7 Institutional Denial (Feb 12)		12,6	603	98	183	0
TV Interview – Brian Gerrish & Ian Puddick Edge TV (Mar 12)		1,4	58	19	24	0
TV Interview - Sahar Middle East news, with Amir Tahouri		No data available				
TV Interview – Press TV (Apr 12) across Iran		No data available				
TV Live Debate by Ian R Crane with support from Tony Farrell		2,638		39	39	16
British Humanist Society Debate – Upload BHA						
TV Live Debate by Ian R Crane with support from Tony Farrell		1,109		7	14	1
British Humanist Society Debate – Upload BHA						
TV Short Address – May Day Protests Outside IPCC (May 12)			60	2	7	0
TV Play – Nick Kollestrom and Tony Farrell on 7/7		(To Be Released Shortly)				
TONY GOSLING – BRISTOL CR DRIVE TIME	TNS RADIO STN IREL	AND & DEVON		SOVEREIGN INDEPENDENT		
BURNGREAVE COMMUNITY RADIO STN	SCOTTISH SOVEREIGN		EDGE TV			
THE VINNY EASTWOOD SHOW	WE ARE CHANGE (VA		RICHPLANET			
THE KEITH BARRETT SHOW		JIM FETZER	OPEN YOUR MIND - IRELAND			

¹ Alex Jones and Infowars

Internationally recognized veteran broadcaster, documentary filmmaker, and investigative journalist Alex Jones has been featured as a prominent figure of the 9/11 Truth Movement in such publications as The New York Times, Vanity Fair, Rolling Stone Magazine and Popular Mechanics. He has appeared on Good Morning America, The View, 20/20 Downtown, 60 Minutes, The Discovery Channel, The History Channel, Fox News, CNN, TalkSport (UK), Russia Today, Channel 4 (UK), A&E, America's most widely listened to late night radio show, Coast to Coast AM, C-Span, and many other venues.

INTERVIEWS AND A SELECTION OF VARIOUS TALKS GIVEN BY TONY FARRELL





FAR FROM HAVING ABSURD OR OUTLANDISH VIEWS - I AM FAR FROM ALONE!

THE ISLAMIC PARTY OF BRITAIN

PO BOX 844

Oldbrook

MILTON KEYNES MK6 2YT

01908-671-756

0750-3210363

info@islamicparty.com

DATE: 11/05/2012

For the attention of Mr. Tony Farrell.

Dear Tony,

Further to my correspondence with The Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC) Date: 19/11/2011, and our more recent communications, with Detective Superintendent Adrian Teague, I confirm my willingness to attend your hearing in London, and, if requested, will give evidence under oath as to our own findings which confirm that your refusal to falsify your terror threat assessment was well reasoned and soundly based.

I was present at most of your Employment Tribunal in Sheffield, being the first to sign your petition, along with former Sheffield City Councillor - Ilyas Khan, following which I went to great length to write to the IPCC [Independent Police Complaints Commission] to express my disquiet about the tribunal's proceedings set against the backdrop of an alleged longstanding strategic threat. I considered your treatment in the light of the insight you had and the continuing government lies and deceit behind the attacks of 9/11 and 7/7 and the rhetoric being generated in support of these false terror threats as deplorable and cowardly.

To-date, there has been no satisfactory response to my 167 page letter of complaint to the IPCC, either from South Yorkshire Police or the IPCC itself. The Chairman of the House Select Committee Keith Vass, MP should take note. Their abolition of the IPCC is long overdue. Plainly, since inception, they are anything but independent. The strength of the May Day protests in Holborn can't be ignored.

Returning to your own analysis, given some of those charged with examining your disclosures have ignorantly dismissed them as outlandish and absurd, I thought I'd share with you the fact that far from being alone you are in very good company with your views on 9/11 especially.

You will be pleased to learn that people such as Daniel Ellesberg, who blew the whistle about the Pentagon Papers – which ultimately led to the Watergate scandal – shared your concerns. This is what he had to say in regard to 9-11:



"There is no question in my mind that there is enough evidence to pushing very comprehensive and hard hitting investigation of the kind we have not seen. With subpoenas, general questioning of people, reclassing a lot of documents. There is no question that very severe questions have been raised about how much they knew beforehand and how much involvement there may have been".

Daniel ELLSBERG, PhD, former special investigator for the State Department in Vietnam, Marine Corps office, military analyst for the Rand Corporation; he became known for leaking the "*Pentagon Papers*" to the *N.Y. Times* in 1971: 4

Also Philip J. BERG, Esquire, former *Deputy Attorney General*, Pennsylvania; also signatory to the petition: "The official story of what actually took place on 9-11 is a lie".5

Similarly William CHRISTENSON, CIA analyst for 28 years, Director of the *CIA Bureau for Regional* and Political Analysis: "After spending the greater part of the last 5 years viewing the different 9-11 theories with skepticism, I have now devoted serious time to their study in recent months, and very carefully analyzed the various videos on this topic. I became convinced that substantial portions of these 9-11 theories are correct, so that significant portions of the official explanation of the U.S. government and 9-11 <u>commission are false</u>". Further: "I think we now have compelling evidence. I think that there is incontrovertible evidence regarding the events of September 11th, which the BUSH administration did not disclose as the 9-11 Commission would have had us believe".

Col. Robert BOWMAN, PhD, U.S. Air Force (retired), *Director of Advanced Space Programs Developments* under Presidents FORD and CARTER. U.S. Air Force fighter pilot with over 100 combat missions. PhD in Aeronautics and Nuclear Engineering from Cal Tech.: "A lot of these pieces of information taken together prove that the official story, the official conspiracy theory of 9-11 (about Al Queda) is a bunch of hogwash. It's impossible. I think the very kindest thing we can say about G.W. Bush and all the other people in the U.S. government is that they have been involved in a massive cover up, as they were "The official story could not possibly have happened... It's not possible... The commission was



<u>a hogwash".</u>

1.2.4. Ex-president of Italy: Says that the CIA and Mossad perpetrated September 11th

Rome, 12. 6. 2007: Former President of Italy Francesco COSSIGA1 (1985-1992) had already gave an interview to Corner delia Sera, the most respected newspaper in Italy, in which he literally said as follows with respect to the assassinations on September 11. 2001:2 "Sources close to the Palazzo CHIGI (seat of the Italian government), an important center of the Italian secret services, state that the non- authenticity of the video was confirmed by the fact that BIN LADEN admitted in this video that Al Queda allegedly carried out the September 11th attacks on the two towers in New York, while all democratic circles in America and Europe, above all the Italian center left, happen to know that the disastrous attacks were planned and executed by the CIA and Mossad with the help of the Zionists in order to implicate Arab countries and move Western forces to participate in wars in Iraq and Afghanistan".

Francesco COSSIGA had already revealed after the fall of the Iron Curtain

that, as President, he was the "only political point man" ("l'unico referente politico") of the terror organization called "GLADIO" during the Cold War. 2 Original text and source:

"Da ambienti vicini a Palazzo CHIGI, centro nevralgico di direzione dell'intelligence italiana, sifa notare che la non autenticita del video e testimoniata dal fatto che Osama BIN LADEN in esso 'confessa' che Al Qaeda sarebbe stato l'autore deli' attentato deil'll settembre aile due torn in New York, mentre tutti gli ambienti democratici d'America e d'Europa, con in prima linea quelli del centrosinistra italiano, sanno ormai bene che ii disastroso attentato e stato pianificato e realizzato dalla Cia Americana e dal Mossad con l'aiuto del mondo sionista per mettere sotta accusa i Paesi arabi e per indurre ie potenze occidentali ad intervenire sia in Iraq sia in Afghanistan."

http://www.corriere.it/politica/07 novembre 30/osama berlusconi cossiea 27f4ccee-9f55-lldc- 8807-0003ba99c53b.shtml

These also include:-

Andreas VON BULOW:

Born 17 July 1937 in Dresden, is a German writer, lawyer and former SPD politician. He has been working on books about intelligence agencies, including *In the Name of the State. CIA*, *BND and the criminal machinations of secret services.* (German: *Im Namen des Staates. CIA*, *BND und die kriminellen Machenschaflen der Geheimdienste.*) and *The CIA and September 11 (Die CIA und der 11. September).* He possesses a doctorate degree in Jurisprudence^[1]

Political career: He served as State-Secretary in the German Federal Ministry of Defence (1976-1980) and Minister for Research and Technology (1980-1982), both during the Chancellor Helmut Schmidt administration, and was regarded as a "rising star" of German politics at the time.^[1] He served for 25

years as an SPD member of the German parliament (1969-1994). In the late eighties and early nineties, he served on the Parliamentary Committee on Intelligence Services ("Parlamentarischer Kontrollausschuss")^[1] This committee supervises German intelligence agencies and has access to classified information. In the early nineties, Von Bulow also served as SPP ranking member of the Schalck-Golodkowski investigation committee, a task that first led him to inquire into white collar crime in connection with Eastern intelligence services, and later also into what he labels "criminal activities" of Western intelligence services^[2] His first major publication dealing with this realm, *In the Name of the State* (German: *Im Namen des Staates*) is a heavily referenced and extensive study focusing mostly on the CIA. Since leaving the Bundestag, he has largely left the SPD's political loop^[3]

Anthony



P.

TA, USA -Technical Translation Agency GmbH A-2136 Laa/Thaya, Austria - A very professional translation has been made by Anthony MAROTTA, on behalf of the Austrian Technical Translation Agency.

Michael RUPPERT:

He is the author of: Crossing The Rubicon: The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil.

Rubicon is the only book to show that Vice President Richard Cheney, the US government and Wall Street had a well-developed awareness of Peak Oil



before the 9/11 attacks and that US policy since then has been consistent with Peak Oil imperatives. Mike is also the publisher/editor of *From The Wilderness*, a newsletter read in more than 50 countries around the world. As a university lecturer he has spoken on Peak Oil and 9/11 in nine countries. Recently, at the request of Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney, he served as an official questioner during a Congressional briefing looking into unanswered questions and the unaddressed flaws of the Keane Commission report. **Mike is a former LAPD narcotics investigator**, whistle- blower and a 1973 Honors Graduate of UCLA in Political Science. After attempting to expose this he was forced out of LAPD in 1978 while earning the highest rating reports possible and having no pending disciplinary actions: *FTW's* video, *The Truth and Lies About 9-11*, has sold more than 15,000 copies. It includes special exclusive interviews with Representatives:

- Ron PAUL (R-TX), Cynthia MCKINNEY (D-GA),
- Barbara LEE (D-CA),
- Professor Peter Dale SCOTT, (UC, Berkeley), Professor John METZGER (Michigan State)



Prof. JONES is an American known mainly for his work on amid controversy surrounding his Center, he was relieved of his from BRIGHAM YOUNG announced his retirement. **He destroyed by controlled attacks.**

Education: JONES earned his laude, from BRIGHAM YOUNG physics from VANDERBILT Ph.D. research at the Stanford Gen.LeonidGrigoryevichIVASHOV:Born August 31, 1943, is a vice president of the Academy on GeopoliticalAffairs and former Joint Chief of Staff of the Russian Armed Forces,general-colonel.Leonid Ivashov was born in Kyrgyz SSR and graduatedfrom Tashkent military school in 1964.Between 1976 and 1984 he workedas a senior aid to the Soviet Minister of Defense Dmitriy USTINOV.

In 1987 he became Chief of the Department for General Affairs in the Soviet Union's Ministry of Defense. During the 1990s was in charge of military co-operation between members of the Commonwealth of Independent States as Chief of the Military Cooperation Department at the Russian Federation's Ministry of Defence. After his retirement in 2001 Leonid IVASHOV became an outspoken critic of and writes extensively on military affairs and geopolitics. Leonid IVASHOV is a member of the anti-imperialist conference Axis for Peace.



Steven Earl JONES

physicist. For most of his career, Jones was muon- catalyzed fusion. In the fall of 2006, work on the collapse of the World Trade teaching duties and placed on paid leave University. On October 20, 2006, he holds that the World Trade Center was demolition during the September 11

bachelors degree in physics, magna cum University in 1973, and his Ph.D. in University in 1978. Jones conducted his Linear Accelerator Center (from 1974 to

1977), and post-doctoral research at Cornell University and the Los Alamos Meson Physics Facility.

Research interests and background:

JONES conducted research at the Idaho National Laboratory, in Arco, Idaho where, from 1979 to 1985, he was a senior engineering specialist. He was principal investigator for experimental muon-catalyzed fusion from 1982 to 1991 for the U.S. Department of Energy, Division of Advanced Energy Projects. From 1990 to 1993, JONES studied fusion in condensed matter physics and deuterium under U.S. Department of Energy and Electric Power Research Institute sponsorship. JONES also collaborated in experiments at other physics labs, including TRIUMF (Vancouver, British Columbia), KEK (Tsukuba, Japan), and the RUTHERFORD APPLETON Laboratory at Oxford University.

Prof. Dr. Robert HICKSON:



Robert Hickson graduated from the U.S. Military Academy, West Point, New York, in June 1964, and was assigned to Southeast Asia. After one year, he became a U.S. Army Special Forces Officer and earned his "3-prefix" as a "Green Beret," after having already completed Parachute School and Ranger School and certain forms of Naval Commando Training. After tours in Viet Nam and elsewhere in Asia, he taught at the J.F. Kennedy Special Warfare Center as the Head of the East Asian Seminar and Instructor in Military History and Irregular Warfare.

He acquired his Ph.D. in Comparative Literature and Classics (Greco-Roman) at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, with an emphasis on Ancient Philosophy and Medieval Literature (to include Theological

Literature).

For seven years, he was Professor and Chairman of the Literature and Latin Department at Christendom College, leaving shortly thereafter to return to Military and Strategic-Cultural Studies.

He was a Professor at the Joint Military Intelligence College (former Defense Intelligence College), a graduate school in the U.S. Intelligence Community at the Defense Intelligence Agency (D.I.A.) in Washington, D.C. Among other things, he taught Foreign Area and National Security Studies, Military History and Strategy, as well as Moral Philosophy.

He was then invited to the Air Force Academy for four years as a Professor in the William Simon Chair of Strategy and Culture, teaching in several academic departments. He concluded his Federal Service as a Professor of Strategic and Cultural Studies, as well as Military History and National Security Studies, at the Joint Special Operations University in Florida, a part of the U.S. Special Operations Command. Comparative cultural and strategic-historical studies constituted a unifying theme in these various forms of teaching over the years.

Prof. HICKSON got his Ph.D. in Comparative Literature and Classics (Ancient GrecoRoman Classics). They were his major (Mediaeval Studies) and minor studies - both of them emphasizing literature and philosophy.

He was also a Special Forces Officer (a "Green Beret") - Commando/Paratrooper - who lived and traveled in many foreign cultures and studied their culture and literature and religious-philosophical thought.

Professor HICKSON was working as a visiting professor for national security and strategic- cultural sciences at the *Joint Special Operations University* in Florida. He resigned from that Military University position and also from U.S Federal Service itself completely in January 2003 on principle, because he gravely opposed of thenforthcoming *expanded war* into Iraq and the *deliberate falsehoods* on which that *deceitful project* was based. HICKSON knew that the *fuller* war was coming, and that it was based on various falsehoods and deceptions and self-deceptions. He believed it to be - as later happened *more openly* in March 2003 - an aggressive war: that is to say, an unjust war of aggression. This is *still* his conviction. The evidence is now much more abundant, and *some* of it is now no longer classified (i.e., a state secret).



Julius WEISS: The Author of this 9/11 book -He lives in Vienna, Austria, is married and has two sons. Having recently retired following 14 years as a self-employed business consultant.

Prior to that he was the managing director of an Austrian IT-service company; a director at the Austrian subsidiary of a US computer manufacturer. His business career started with him being a salesman at IBM, followed by a 3 years International Assignment to another IBM subsidiary as sales and marketing manager, responsible for Science & Research industry. This was followed by eleven years as IBM marketing manager at SIEMENS Germany and SIEMENS-NIXDORF Austria, which also involved the study of applied physics at the Technical University of Vienna, he is an officer of the reserve in the Austrian army. He is responsible for numerous publications and delivering lectures in the area of economy, geopolitics and history of civilisation (Vienna, Berlin, Munich, Moscow, St. Petersburg, Brugge, ...), he has completed eight study tours in Russia and several more in Eastern Europe and the Balkans. Hobbies:

sailing, skiing, garden, history of thought/civilisation, and writing.

So Tony, as you can see, you are in very good company indeed and that's just for 9/11. I could do the same for 7/7 but I understand that you intend to present your own comprehensive analysis regarding that outrage at the Employment Appeal Tribunal. You will have my full support on the day and, God willing, the prayers of over 1.5 billion Muslims.

With best regards

Yours sincerely

DAVID M PIDCOCK

Leader of the Islamic Party of Britain

7 of 7